arXiv:0806.0279v1 [math.CO] 2 Jun 2008

Ordered k-flaw Preference Sets

Po-Yi Huang[a,*] Jun Ma[b] Yeong-Nan Yeh[b,†]

[a] Department of Mathematics, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

[b] Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

Abstract

In this paper, we focus on ordered k-flaw preference sets. Let $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k}$ denote the set of ordered preference sets of length n with at least k flaws and $\mathcal{S}_{n,k} = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-k}) \mid x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{n-k} = n + k, x_i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We obtain a bijection from the sets $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$. Let $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$ denote the set of ordered preference sets of length n with exactly k flaws. An (n,k)-*flaw path* is a lattice path starting at $(0,0)$ and ending at $(2n,0)$ with only two kinds of steps—rise step: $U = (1,1)$ and fall step: $D = (1,-1)$ lying on the line $y = -k$ and touching this line. Let $\mathcal{D}_{n,k}$ denote the set of (n,k)-flaw paths. Also we establish a bijection between the sets $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{n,k}$. Let $op^m_{n,\geq k,\leq l}$ ($op^m_{n,k,=l}$) denote the number of preference sets $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ with at least k (exact) flaws and leading term m satisfying $a_i \leq l$ for any i ($\max\{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} = l$), respectively. With the benefit of these bijections, we obtain the explicit formulas for $op^m_{n,\geq k,\leq l}$. Furthermore, we give the explicit formulas for $op^m_{n,k,=l}$. We derive some recurrence relations of the sequence formed by ordered k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term m. Using these recurrence relations, we obtain the generating functions of some corresponding k-flaw preference sets.

Keyword: Dyck path; flaw; Parking function; Bijection; Catalan number

*Email address of the corresponding author: pyhuang@mail.ncku.edu.tw Partially supported by NSC 96-2115-M-006-012

†Partially supported by NSC 96-2115-M-006-012

1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, we let $[m,n] := \{m, m+1, \cdots, n\}$, $[n] := \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ and c_n denote the n-th Catalan number for any $n \geq 0$, i.e. $c_n = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$. It is well known that the sequence c_n has the generating function $C(x) := \sum_{n\geq 0} c_n x^n$ satisfying the functional equation $C(x) = 1 + zC(x)^2$ and $C(x) = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4x}}{2x}$ explicitly.

Suppose that n cars will be parked in n parking spaces which are arranged in a line and numbered 1 to n from left to right. Each car has initial parking preference a_i. If space a_i is occupied, the car moves to the first unoccupied space to the right. We call $(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ *preference set*. Clearly, the number of the preference sets is n^n. If a preference set $(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ satisfies $a_i \leq a_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then we say that this preference set is *ordered*. If all the cars can find the parking spaces, then we say the preference set is a *parking function*. If there are exactly k cars which can't be parked, then the preference set is called a *k-flaw preference set*.

Parking functions were introduced by J. Riordan in [15]. He derived that the number of parking functions of length n is $(n+1)^{n-1}$, which coincides with the number of labeled trees on $n+1$ vertices by Cayley's formula. Several bijections between the two sets are known (e.g., see [7, 15, 16]). Furthermore, Riordan concluded that the number of ordered parking functions is $\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$, which is also equals the number of Dyck path of semilength n. Parking functions have been found in connection to many other combinatorial structures such as acyclic mappings, polytopes, non-crossing partitions, non-nesting partitions, hyperplane arrangements, etc. Refer to [8, 7, 9, 13, 17, 18] for more information.

Parking function $(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ can be redefined that its increasing rearrangement $(b_1, \cdots, b_n)$ satisfies $b_i \leq i$. Pitman and Stanley generalized the notion of parking functions in [13]. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ be a sequence of positive integers. The sequence $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ is called an $\mathbf{x}$-parking function if the non-decreasing rearrangement $(b_1, \cdots, b_n)$ of α satisfies $b_i \leq x_1 + \cdots + x_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, the ordinary parking function is the case $\mathbf{x} = (1, \cdots, 1)$. By the determinant formula of Gončarove polynomials, Kung and Yan [12] obtained the number of $\mathbf{x}$-parking functions for an arbitrary $\mathbf{x}$. See also [19, 20, 21] for the

explicit formulas and properties for some specified cases of $\mathbf{x}$.

An $\mathbf{x}$-parking function $(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ is said to be k-leading if $a_1 = k$. Let $p_{n,k}$ denote the number of k-leading ordinary parking functions of length n. Foata and Riordan [7] derived the generating function for $p_{n,k}$ algebraically. Recently, Sen-peng Eu, Tung-shan Fu and Chun-Ju Lai [4] gave a combinatorial approach to the enumeration of $(a, b, \cdots, b)$-parking functions by their leading terms.

Riordan [15] told us the relations between ordered parking functions and Dyck paths. Sen-peng Eu et al. [5, 6] considered the problem of the enumerations of lattice paths with flaws. It is natural to consider the problem of the enumerations of preference sets with flaws. There are a few research results about (ordered) k-flaw preference sets. There is a interesting facts. Salmon and Cayley in 1849 established the classical configuration of 27 lines in a general cubic surface. Given a line l, the number of lines, which are disjoint from, intersect or are equal to l, are 16,10 and 1, respectively, see [10] for the detail information. These number exactly are the number of 0-, 1- and 2-flaw preference sets of length 3. Peter J Cameron et al. [1] indicate that there are some relations between k-flaw preference sets and the context of hashing since data would be lost. Also they counted the number of k-flaw preference sets and calculate the asymptotic. In another joint work [11], using the methods different with [1], Po-Yi Huang et al. studied k-flaw preference sets. In this paper, we focus on ordered k-flaw preference sets. Let $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k}$ denote the set of ordered preference sets of length n with at least k flaws and $op_{n,\geq k} = |\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k}|$. It is well known that the number of solutions of the equation $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k = n$ in nonnegative integers is $\binom{n+k-1}{k-1}$. Hence, the number of solutions of the equation $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{n-k} = n + k$ in nonnegative integers is $\binom{2n-1}{n-k-1}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{n,k} = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-k}) \mid x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{n-k} = n + k, x_i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. One of our main results is that we obtain a bijection ζ between the sets $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$. With the benefit of the bijection , we conclude that $op_{n,\geq k} = \binom{2n-1}{n-1-k}$. Let $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}(\mathcal{OP}_{n,\leq k})$ denote the set of ordered preference sets with exact (at most) k flaws and $op_{n,k} = |\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}|$ ($op_{n,\leq k} = |\mathcal{OP}_{n,\leq k}|$), respectively. By a simple computation, $op_{n,k} = op_{n,\geq k} - op_{n,\geq k+1}$, we derive that the number of order k-flaw preference sets is $\frac{k+1}{n}\binom{2n}{n-k-1}$. Similarly, we also obtain $op_{n,\leq k} = \binom{2n-1}{n-1} - \binom{2n-1}{n-k-2}$.

An $(n, \leq k)$-*flaw path* is a lattice path starting at $(0, 0)$ and ending at $(2n, 0)$ with only

two kinds of steps—*rise step:* $U=(1,1)$ and *fall step:* $D=(1,-1)$ lying on the line $y=-k$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{n,\leq k}$ denote the set of $(n,\leq k)$-flaw paths. If an $(n,\leq k)$-flaw path P touches the line $y=-k$, then P is called (n,k)-*flaw path*. Let $\mathcal{D}_{n,k}$ denote the set of (n,k)-flaw paths. We also find a bijection ω from the sets $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{n,k}$, and this gives the identity $op_{n,k}=\frac{k+1}{n}\binom{2n}{n-k-1}$ a bijection proof. In fact, the mapping ω can be viewed as a bijection from the sets $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\leq k}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{n,\leq k}$.

Motivated by the work of Foata and Riordan in [7] as well as Sen-Peng Eu et al. in [4], we investigate the problem of the enumeration of ordered k-flaw preference sets with leading term m. Let $\mathcal{OP}^m_{n,k}$ denote the set of ordered k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term m and $op^m_{n,k}=|\mathcal{OP}^m_{n,k}|$. We find that $op^{k+1}_{n,k}=\frac{k+2}{n+1}\binom{2n-k-1}{n-k-1}$ and $op^m_{n,k}=\frac{2k-m+4}{2n-m}\binom{2n-m}{n-k-2}$ for any $m\leq k$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{OP}^m_{n,k,=l}$ denote the set of ordered k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term m and $\max\{a_i \mid i\in[n]\}=l$. Let $op^m_{n,k,=l}=|\mathcal{OP}^m_{n,k,=l}|$. We conclude that $op^{k+1}_{n,k,=l}=\frac{n-l+k+1}{n+l-k-1}\binom{n+l-k-1}{l-k-1}$ and $op^m_{n,k,=l}=\frac{(n-l+2k-m+4)(n-m+k+1)-(l-k-2)}{(n-m+k+2)(n+l-m-1)}\binom{n+l-m-1}{l-k-2}$ for any $m\leq k$.

Also we are interested in the recurrence relations for the sequence $op^m_{n,k}$. We find that for any $k\geq 0$, the sequence $op^{k+1}_{n,k}$ satisfies the recurrence relation: $op^{k+2}_{n+1,k+1}=op^{k+1}_{n,k}+op^{k+1}_{n,k+1}+op^{k+2}_{n,k+1}$; for any $1\leq m\leq k$, the sequence $op^m_{n,k}$ satisfies the recurrence relation: $op^m_{n,k}=\sum\limits_{j=m+1}^{k+1}\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-k}c_i op^j_{n-i,k}$; for any $k\geq 0$, the sequence $op_{n,k}$ satisfies the recurrence relation: $op_{n,k}=\sum\limits_{i=0}^{n-k-1}c_i[op_{n-i,k}-op^1_{n-i,k}]$. We use x,y and z to mark the number of flaws, length and leading term of a preference set, respectively. By these recurrence relations, we easily obtain the generating functions for the corresponding sequences. For any $k\geq 0$, the generating function $\varphi_k(y)$ for $op^{k+1}_{n,k}$ satisfies the recurrence relation: $\varphi_{k+1}(y)=yC(y)\varphi_k(y)$ and $\varphi_0(y)=y[C(y)]^2$; for any $k\geq 0$ and $k\geq m\geq 1$, the generating function $\rho_{m,k}(y)$ for $op^m_{n,k}$ satisfies the recurrence relation: $\rho_{m,k}(y)=C(y)\rho_{m+1,k}(y)$ and $\rho_{k,k}(y)=y^{k+2}[C(y)]^{k+4}$; for any $k\geq 0$, and the generating function $\phi_k(y)$ for $op_{n,k}$ is $\phi_k(y)=y^{k+1}[C(y)]^{2(k+1)}$. So, we easily compute the mean and the variance of flaws of all k-flaw preference sets in $\mathcal{OP}^m_{n,k}$ and $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$, respectively.

Recently, Postnikov and Shapiro [14] gave a new generalization, building on work of Cori, Rossin and Salvy [2], the G-parking functions of a graph. For the complete graph $G=K_{n+1}$, the defined functions in [14] are exactly the classical parking functions. So, in the future work,

we will consider k-flaw G-parking function.

We organize this paper as follows. The bijection ζ is given in Section 2. In Section 3, we enumerate k-flaw preference sets by the leading terms. In Section 4, we obtain some the recurrence relations of the sequence formed by some k-flaw preference sets. In Section 5, we derive the generating functions of the sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets. In Section 6, we compute the mean and the variance of flaws of all k-flaw preference sets in $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}^{m}$ and $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$. We list the number of k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term m obtained by computer search for $n \leq 6$ in the Appendix.

2 The bijection from $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$

In this section, we will give the bijection ζ from the sets $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$.

Suppose α is an ordered $\hat{k}$-flaw preference set of length n, Let $\mathbf{r}_\alpha = (r_1, \cdots, r_n)$ be the *specification* of α, i.e., $r_i = |\{j \mid a_j = i\}|$. When $\hat{k} = 0$, Riordan [15] proved the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. [15] *The number of parking functions of length n is equals the n-th Catalan number c_n. Furthermore, let $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ be a parking function of length n and $\mathbf{r}_\alpha = (r_1, \cdots, r_n)$ the specification of α. Then for any $m \in [n]$, $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i - m \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i - n = 0$.*

Now, we consider the case with $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k}$. Suppose α is a ordered $\hat{k}$-flaw preference set, then $\hat{k} \geq k$. Furthermore, we may suppose $\hat{k} \neq 0$ and parking spaces $m_1, \cdots, m_{\hat{k}}$ are empty, then $r_{m_i} = 0$ for $i \in [\hat{k}]$. Set $m_0 = 0$ and $m_{\hat{k}+1} = n + \hat{k}$. For each $i \in [\hat{k}+1]$, let $T_i = \{j \mid m_{i-1} < a_j < m_i\}$ and $u_i = |T_i| = m_i - m_{i-1} - 1$.

Lemma 2.2. *(1) Let $i \in [\hat{k}]$. For any $m \in [u_i]$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{m_{i-1}+j} - j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{u_i} r_{m_{i-1}+j} - u_i = 0$.*

(2) For any $m \in [n - m_{\hat{k}}]$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{m_{\hat{k}}+j} - j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n-m_{\hat{k}}} r_{m_{\hat{k}}+j} - (n - m_{\hat{k}}) > 0$.

Proof. (1) Let $\alpha_{T_i} = (a_{j_1}, \cdots, a_{j_{u_i}})$ be a subsequence of α determined by the subscripts in T_i and $\beta_i = (a_{j_1} - m_{i-1}, \cdots, a_{j_{u_i}} - m_{i-1})$. Obviously, β_i is an ordered parking function of length u_i. By Lemma 2.1, we obtain the results as desired.

(2) Similar to (1), by Lemma 2.1, for any $m \in [n - m_{\hat{k}}]$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{m_{\hat{k}}+j} - j \geq 0$. Note $\hat{k} \neq 0$ and $u_{\hat{k}+1} = n + \hat{k} - m_{\hat{k}} > n - m_{\hat{k}}$. We have $\sum_{j=1}^{n-m_{\hat{k}}} r_{m_{\hat{k}}+j} - (n - m_{\hat{k}}) > 0$. ■

Furthermore, Let $R = \{m_0, m_1, \cdots, m_{\hat{k}}\}$, $R+1 = \{m+1 \mid m \in R\}$, $H = \{m_{\hat{k}-k+1}, \cdots, m_{\hat{k}}\}$, $H+1 = \{m+1 \mid m \in H\}$ and $T = [n] \setminus H$. Obviously $|T| = n-k$. Suppose $T = \{i_1, \cdots, i_{n-k}\}$ satisfying $i_j < i_{j+1}$ for any $j \in [n-k-1]$ and $\mathbf{r}_\alpha(T) = (r_{i_1}, \cdots, r_{i_{n-k}})$ be a subsequence of $\mathbf{r}_\alpha$ determined by the subscripts in T.

For any $i_j \in T$, if $i_j \notin H+1$, then let $w_j = 0$; if $i_j \in H+1$, then let $w_j = |[i_{j-1}, i_j] \cap H|$ when $j > 1$ and $w_1 = |[1, i_1] \cap H|$. At last, let $x_j = r_{i_j} + w_j$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n-k$ and $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_{n-k})$.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $j \in [n-k]$, suppose $i_j \in T$. Let $y(j,m) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} x_{j+l} - m$ for all $m \in [n-k+1-j]$. Then*

(1) If $i_j \in (R+1) \setminus (H+1)$, then there exists a $\hat{m}$ satisfy $y(j,m) \geq 0$ for all $m \in [\hat{m}-1]$, $y(j,\hat{m}-1) = 0$ and $y(j,\hat{m}) < 0$.

(2) If $i_j = m_s+1$ for some $s \in [\hat{k}-k+1, \hat{k}-1]$, then $w_j = min\{y(j,m) \mid m \in [n-k+1-j]\}$ and $m_{s+1} - m_s - 1 = max\{m \mid y(j,m) = w_j\}$

(3) If $i_j = \hat{k}+1$, then $w_j \leq min\{y(j,m) \mid m \in [n-k+1-j]\}$.

(4) $\sum_{i_j \in T \cap (H+1)} w_j = k$

(5) $\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} x_i = n+k$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.2, if $i_j = 1$, then $\hat{m} = m_1 - 1$; if $i_j = m_s + 1$ for some $s \in [\hat{k}-k]$, then $\hat{m} = m_{s+1} - m_s - 1$.

(2) Since $i_j = m_s$ for some $s \in [\hat{k}-k+1, \hat{k}-1]$, by Lemma 2.2, we have $y(j,m) \geq w_j$ for all $m \in [m_{s+1} - m_s - 2]$ and $y(j, m_{s+1} - m_s - 1) = w_j$. Note that $x_{j+m_{s+1}-m_s-1} - 1 > 0$. Hence, $w_j = min\{y(j,m) \mid m \in [n-k+1-j]\}$ and $m_{s+1} - m_s - 1 = max\{m \mid y(j,m) = w_j\}$.

(3) Similar to (2), by Lemma 2.2, we have $w_j \leq min\{y(j,m) \mid m \in [n-k+1-j]\}$.

(4) When $i_j \in T \cap (H+1)$, since $w_j = |[i_{j-1}, i_j] \cap H|$ if $j > 1$ and $w_1 = |[1, i_1] \cap H|$, we have $\sum_{i_j \in T \cap (H+1)} w_j = k$.

(5) Clearly, $\sum\limits_{i_j\in T} r_{i_j} = n$. By (4), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} x_i = \sum_{i_j\in T}(r_{i_j} + w_{i_j}) = \sum_{i_j\in T} r_{i_j} + \sum_{i_j\in T\cap(H+1)} w_{i_j} = n + k.$$

■

Example 2.1. *Take* $n = 9$ *and* $k = 2$. *Consider* $\alpha = (1, 1, 3, 3, 5, 9, 9, 9, 9) \in \mathcal{OP}_{9,\geq 2}$. *Then* $\mathbf{r}_\alpha = (2, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 4)$, $R = \{6, 7, 8\}$, $H = \{7, 8\}$, *So,* $R + 1 = \{7, 8, 9\}$, $H + 1 = \{8, 9\}$ *and* $T = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9\}$. *Hence* $\mathbf{r}_\alpha(T) = (2, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 4)$, $w = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2)$. *Finally, we have* $x = (2, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 6)$.

The above process give a mapping ζ from the sets $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$. In fact, we may prove the mapping ζ is a bijection from the sets $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$.

Theorem 2.1. *There is a bijection* ζ *from the sets* $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k}$ *to* $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$.

Proof. To find ζ^{-1}, let $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_{n-k}) \in \mathcal{S}_{n,k}$. For any $i \in [n-k]$ and $j \in [n-i+1]$, let $y(i, j) = \sum\limits_{m=0}^{j-1} x_{i+m} - j$. If there is a $m \in [n-i+2]$ such that $y(i, m+1) < 0$, suppose $y(i, \widehat{m}+1)$ is the first negative number in the sequence $y(i, 1), \cdots, y(i, n-i+1)$, then let $u(i) = \widehat{m}$ and $v(i) = 0$. Otherwise, we have $y(i, j) \geq 0$ for all $j \in [n-i+1]$, then let $\widehat{m} = max\{j' \mid y_{i,j'} \leq y_{i,j} \text{ for all } j \in [n-i+1]\}$ and $u(i) = \widehat{m}$ and $v(i) = y(i, \widehat{m})$. At last, let $\mathbf{u} = (u(1), \cdots, u(n-k))$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v(1), \cdots, v(n-k))$.

Now, let

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{u}(1) = u(1) && \widehat{v}(1) = v(1) \\ &\widehat{u}(i+1) = u(u(i)+1) && \widehat{v}(i+1) = v(u(i)+1), \end{aligned}$$

and $s(i) = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{i} \widehat{u}(i)$ and $t(i) = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{i} \widehat{v}(i)$, then there exists a $\widetilde{m}$ satisfying $t(\widetilde{m}) \leq k$ and $t(\widetilde{m}+1) > k$. So, for any $i \in [\widetilde{m}]$, let $\mathbf{r}_i = (r_{i,1}, \cdots, r_{i,\widehat{u}_i+\widehat{v}_i})$ such that

$$r_{1,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ 1 \leq j \leq \widehat{v}(1) \\ x_{j-\widehat{v}(1)} - \widehat{v}(1) & \text{if } \ j = \widehat{v}(1)+1 \\ x_{j-\widehat{v}(1)} & \text{if } \ \widehat{v}(1)+2 \leq j \leq \widehat{u}(1)+\widehat{v}(1) \end{cases}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq \widetilde{m}$,

$$r_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq j \leq \widehat{v}(i) \\ x_{s(i-1)+j-v(i)} - \widehat{v}(i) & \text{if} \quad j = v(i)+1 \\ x_{s(i-1)+j-v(i)} & \text{if} \quad v(i)+2 \leq j \leq \widehat{u}(i)+\widehat{v}(i) \end{cases}$$

and $\mathbf{r}_{\widetilde{m}+1} = (r_{\widetilde{m}+1,1}, \cdots, r_{\widetilde{m}+1,n-s(\widetilde{m})-t(\widetilde{m})})$ such that

$$r_{\widetilde{m}+1,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq j \leq k - t(\widetilde{m}) \\ x_{s(\widetilde{m})+j-k+t(\widetilde{m})} - k + t(\widetilde{m}) & \text{if} \quad j = k - t(\widetilde{m}) + 1 \\ x_{s(\widetilde{m})+j-k+t(\widetilde{m})} & \text{if} \quad k - t(\widetilde{m}) + 2 \leq j \leq n - s(\widetilde{m}) - t(\widetilde{m}) \end{cases}$$

Finally, we obtain a specification $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{r}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_{\widetilde{m}+1})$ of a preference set of length n with at least k flaw. ■

Example 2.2. *Let us consider the equation* $x_1 + \cdots + x_7 = 11$ *and a solution* $x = (2,0,2,0,1,0,6)$. *It is easy to obtain that* $n = 9$ *and* $k = 2$. *By simple computations, we may get* $\mathbf{u} = (6,0,3,0,1,0,5)$ *and* $\mathbf{v} = (0,0,0,0,0,0,5)$. *Clearly,* $\widetilde{m} = 1$. *Hence,* $\mathbf{r}_1 = (2,0,2,0,1,0)$ *and* $\mathbf{r}_2 = (0,0,4)$. *So, we have* $\mathbf{r} = (2,0,2,0,1,0,0,0,4)$. *Finally, we construct a ordered preference set* $(1,1,3,3,5,9,9,9,9)$ *of length* 9 *with at least* 2 *flaws.*

With the benefit of the bijection in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. $op_{n,\geq k} = \binom{2n-1}{n-1-k}$.

Example 2.3. *Take* $n = 6$ *and* $k = 3$. *In Appendix, we can find* $op_{6,\geq 3} = \sum_{i=3}^{5} op_{6,i} = 55 = \binom{11}{2}$.

Remark 2.1. *Note that* $op_{n,k} = op_{n,\geq k} - op_{n,\geq k+1}$. *By Corollary 2.1, we have* $op_{n,k} = \frac{k+1}{n}\binom{2n}{n-k-1}$. *Let* $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\leq k}$ *denote the set of preference sets of length* n *with at most* k *flaws and* $op_{n,\leq k} = |\mathcal{OP}_{n,\leq k}|$. *Obviously,* $op_{n,\leq k} = op_{n,\geq 0} - op_{n,\geq k+1}$. *Hence we have* $op_{n,\leq k} = \binom{2n-1}{n-1} - \binom{2n-1}{n-k-2}$. *In the rest of this section, we will give the bijection proofs of the above results .*

Clearly, when $k = 0$, an $(n,0)$-flaw path is an *n-Dyck path* as well. We can also consider an (n,k)-flaw path P a word of $2n$ letters using only U and D. If a joint node is formed by a rise step followed by a fall step, then this node is called a peak.

Let $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$ and $\mathbf{r}_\alpha = (r_1, \cdots, r_n)$ be the specification of α. For any $i \in [n]$, let $P_i = \underbrace{UU\cdots U}_{r_i} D$. So, we obtain a lattice path $P = P_1 \cdots P_n$ of length $2n$. Obviously, P is an (n, k)-flaw path and $P \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k}$. So, we obtain a mapping from $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{n,k}$, and we denote this mapping as ω.

Example 2.4. *Let $\alpha = (1, 1, 3, 3, 5, 9, 9, 9, 9) \in \mathcal{OP}_{9,3}$. Then $\mathbf{r}_\alpha = (2, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 4)$. So,we may obtain a $(9, 3)$-flaw path $P = UUDDUUDDUDDDDUUUUD$.*

In fact, we may prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *There is a bijection ω from $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{n,k}$*

Proof. To find ω^{-1}, for any $P \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k}$, we view P as a word of length $2n$ using only U and D. Our goal is to find a vector $\mathbf{r}$ such that $\mathbf{r}$ is the specification of a k-flaw preference set of length n. By adding a symbol $*$ and replacing every peak UD with $U*$, we may obtain a new word $\widetilde{P}$. Now, we suppose $\widetilde{P} = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{2n}$. Let $T = \{j \mid w_j = D \text{ or } w_j = *\}$. Clearly, $|T| = n$. Hence, we may suppose $T = \{i_1, \cdots, i_n\}$ satisfying $i_s < i_{s+1}$. For any $j \in [n]$, if $w_{i_j} = D$, then let $r_j = 0$; if $w_{i_j} = *$, then $r_j = |\{s \mid w_s = u \text{ and } i_{j-1} < s < i_j\}|$. So, we construct a vector $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \cdots, r_n)$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i = n$, there is a vector $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ satisfying $\mathbf{r}_\alpha = \mathbf{r}$. Since P touch the line $y = -k$, α is a k-flaw preference set of length n. ■

Example 2.5. *Let $P = UUDDUUDDUDDDDUUUUD$, clearly, $P \in \mathcal{D}_{9,3}$. Furthermore, we have $\widetilde{P} = UU*DUU*DU*DDDUUUU*$ and $T = \{3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18\}$. Note that $i_1 = 3$ and $w_{i_1} = w_3 = *$, hence $r_1 = 2$. Since $i_2 = 4$ and $w_{i_2} = w_4 = D$, we have $r_2 = 0$. Finally, we may obtain a vector $r = (2, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 4)$ and $\alpha = (1, 1, 3, 3, 5, 9, 9, 9, 9) \in \mathcal{OP}_{9,3}$.*

The mapping ω can be viewed as a bijection from the sets $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\leq k}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{n,\leq k}$. So, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *There is a bijection from the sets $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\leq k}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{n,\leq k}$.*

Let $d_{n,k} = |\mathcal{D}_{n,k}|$. It is well known that $d_{n,k} = \frac{k+1}{n}\binom{2n}{n-k-1}$.

By Theorem 2.2, we immediately obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *For any* $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, $op_{n,k} = \frac{k+1}{n}\binom{2n}{n-k-1}$.

Example 2.6. *Take* $n = 6$ *and* $k = 2$. *In appendix, we find* $op_{6,2} = 110 = \frac{1}{2}\binom{12}{3}$.

3 Enumerating ordered k-flaw preference sets by leading terms

In this section, we will enumerate ordered k-flaw preference sets by leading terms. First, let $\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k,\leq l}$ denote the set of ordered preference sets $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ of length n which have at least k flaws and satisfy $a_i \leq l$ for any $i \in [n]$. Let $op_{n,\geq k,\leq l} = |\mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k,\leq l}|$. It is easy to obtain that $l \geq n$ and $op_{n,\geq k,\leq l} = 0$ if $l \leq k$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any* $n \geq l \geq k+1$, $op_{n,\geq k,\leq l} = \binom{n+l-1}{l-k-1}$.

Proof. For any $n \geq l \geq k+1$, be Theorem 2.1, $op_{n,\geq k,\leq l}$ is equals the number of the solutions of equation $x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_{l-k} = n+k$ in nonnegative integers. Hence, $op_{n,\geq k,\leq l} = \binom{n+l-1}{l-k-1}$. ■

Now, for any $m \leq l$, let $\mathcal{OP}^m_{n,\geq k,\leq l}$ denote the set of ordered preference sets $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k,\leq l}$ with leading term m. Let $op^m_{n,\geq k,\leq l} = |\mathcal{OP}^m_{n,\geq k,\leq l}|$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any* $n \geq l \geq k+1$, $op^{k+1}_{n,\geq k,\leq l} = \binom{n+l-k-2}{l-k-1}$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathcal{OP}^{k+1}_{n,\geq k,\leq l}$, let $\beta = (a_1 - k, \cdots, a_n - k)$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{OP}^1_{n,\geq 0,\leq l-k}$. Conversely, for any $\beta = (b_1, \cdots, b_n) \in \mathcal{OP}^1_{n,\geq 0,\leq l-k}$, let $\alpha = (b_1 + k, \cdots, b_n + k)$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{OP}^{k+1}_{n,\geq k,\leq l}$. So, $op^{k+1}_{n,\geq k,\leq l} = op^1_{n,\geq 0,\leq l}$

By Theorem 2.1, $op^1_{n,\geq 0,\leq l}$ is equals the number of the solutions of equation

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_l = n \\ x_1 \geq 1, x_2 \geq 0, \cdots, x_l \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

in nonnegative integers. Let $y_1 = x_1 - 1$ and $y_i = x_i$ for all $i \geq 2$. So, $op^1_{n,\geq 0,\leq l}$ is equals the number of the solutions of equation $y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_l = n-1$ in nonnegative integers. Hence, we have $op^{k+1}_{n,\geq k,\leq l} = \binom{n+l-k-2}{l-k-1}$ for any $n \geq l \geq k+1$. ■

Lemma 3.3. *For any $n \geq l \geq k+1$ and $k \geq m$, $op^m_{n,\geq k,\leq l} = \binom{n+l-m-1}{l-k-2}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathcal{OP}^m_{n,\geq k,\leq l}$, let $\beta = (a_1 - m + 1, \cdots, a_n - m + 1)$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{OP}^1_{n,\geq k-m+1,\leq l-m+1}$. Conversely, for any $\beta = (b_1, \cdots, b_n) \in \mathcal{OP}^1_{n,\geq k-m+1,\leq l-m+1}$, let $\alpha = (b_1 + m - 1, \cdots, b_n + m - 1)$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{OP}^m_{n,\geq k,\leq l}$. Hence, $op^m_{n,\geq k,\leq l} = op^1_{n,\geq k-m+1,\leq l-m+1}$

For any $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \bigcup_{i=2}^{l-m+1} \mathcal{OP}^i_{n,\geq k-m+1,\leq l-m+1}$, let $\beta = (a_1 - 1, \cdots, a_n - 1)$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k-m,l-m}$. Conversely, for any $\beta = (b_1, \cdots, b_n) \in \mathcal{OP}_{n,\geq k-m,l-m}$, let $\alpha = (b_1 + 1, \cdots, b_n + 1)$, then $\alpha \in \bigcup_{i=2}^{l-m+1} \mathcal{OP}^i_{n,\geq k-m+1,\leq l-m+1}$. So, $\sum_{i=2}^{l-m+1} op^i_{n,\geq k-m+1,\leq l-m+1} = op_{n,\geq k-m,\leq l-m}$.

Hence, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
op^m_{n,\geq k,\leq l} &= op^1_{n,\geq k-m+1,\leq l-m+1} \\
&= op_{n,\geq k-m+1,\leq l-m+1} - \sum_{i=2}^{l-m+1} op^m_{n,\geq k-m+1,\leq l-m+1} \\
&= op_{n,\geq k-m+1,\leq l-m+1} - op_{n,\geq k-m,\leq l-m} \\
&= \binom{n+l-m}{l-k-1} - \binom{n+l-m-1}{l-k-1} \\
&= \binom{n+l-m-1}{l-k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Let $\mathcal{OP}^m_{n,k,\leq l}$ denote the set of ordered k-flaw preference sets $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ of length n which satisfy $a_1 = m$ and $a_i \leq l$ for any $i \in [n]$. Let $op^m_{n,k,\leq l} = |\mathcal{OP}^m_{n,k,\leq l}|$. By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain the following two corollaries.

Corollary 3.1. *For any $n \geq l \geq k+1$, $op^{k+1}_{n,k,\leq l} = \frac{n-l+k+2}{n+1}\binom{n+l-k-1}{l-k-1}$.*

Proof. Note that $op^{k+1}_{n,k,\leq l} = op^{k+1}_{n,\geq k,\leq l} - op^{k+1}_{n,\geq k+1,\leq l}$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
op^{k+1}_{n,k,\leq l} &= \binom{n+l-k-2}{l-k-1} - \binom{n+l-k-2}{l-k-3} \\
&= \frac{n-l+k+2}{n+1}\binom{n+l-k-1}{l-k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Corollary 3.2. *For any $k \geq m \geq 1$ and $n \geq l \geq k+1$, $op^m_{n,k,\leq l} = \frac{n-l+2k-m+4}{n-m+k+2}\binom{n+l-m-1}{l-k-2}$.*

Proof. Note that $op^m_{n,k,\le l} = op^m_{n,\ge k,\le l} - op^m_{n,\ge k+1,\le l}$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} op^m_{n,k,\le l} &= \binom{n+l-m-1}{l-k-2} - \binom{n+l-m-1}{l-k-3} \\ &= \frac{n-l+2k-m+4}{n-m+k+2}\binom{n+l-m-1}{l-k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

■

For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{OP}^m_{n,k}$, it is easy to check that parking spaces $1, 2, \cdots, m-1$ are empty. Hence, $op^m_{n,k} = 0$ if $m \ge k+2$. Obviously, $op_{n,k} = 0$ if $n \le k$. So, we always suppose $n \ge k+1 \ge m$.

First, we consider the case with $m = k+1$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n \ge k+1$. Then $op^{k+1}_{n,k} = \frac{k+2}{n+1}\binom{2n-k-1}{n-k-1}$.*

Proof. Take $l = n$ in Corollary 3.1. ■

Example 3.1. *Take $n = 6$ and $k = 2$. In Appendix, we find $op^3_{6,2} = 48 = \frac{4}{7}\binom{9}{3}$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let $k \ge m \ge 1$ and $n \ge k+1$. Then $op^m_{n,k} = \frac{2k-m+4}{2n-m}\binom{2n-m}{n-k-2}$.*

Proof. Take $l = n$ in Corollary 3.2. ■

Example 3.2. *Take $n = 6$, $k = 2$ and $m = 2$. In Appendix, we find $op^2_{6,2} = 27 = \frac{3}{5}\binom{10}{2}$.*

Theorem 3.3. *For any $n \ge l \ge k+1$, $op^{k+1}_{n,k,=l} = \frac{n-l+k+1}{n+l-k-1}\binom{n+l-k-1}{l-k-1}$.*

Proof. Note that $op^{k+1}_{n,k,=l} = op^{k+1}_{n,k,\le l} - op^{k+1}_{n,k,\le l-1}$. By Corollary 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} op^{k+1}_{n,k,=l} &= \frac{n-l+k+2}{n+1}\binom{n+l-k-1}{l-k-1} - \frac{n-l+k+3}{n+1}\binom{n+l-k-2}{l-k-2} \\ &= \frac{n-l+k+1}{n+l-k-1}\binom{n+l-k-1}{l-k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 3.4. *For any $k \ge m \ge 1$ and $n \ge l \ge k+1$,*

$$op^m_{n,k,=l} = \frac{(n-l+2k-m+4)(n-m+k+1)-(l-k-2)}{(n-m+k+2)(n+l-m-1)}\binom{n+l-m-1}{l-k-2}.$$

Proof. Note that $op^m_{n,k,=l} = op^m_{n,k,\le l} - op^m_{n,k,\le l-1}$. By Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} op^m_{n,k,=l} &= \frac{n-l+2k-m+4}{n-m+k+2}\binom{n+l-m-1}{l-k-2} - \frac{n-l+2k-m+5}{n-m+k+2}\binom{n+l-m-2}{l-k-3} \\ &= \frac{(n-l+2k-m+4)(n-m+k+1)-(l-k-2)}{(n-m+k+2)(n+l-m-1)}\binom{n+l-m-1}{l-k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

■

4 The recurrence relation of ordered k-flaw preference sets

In this section, we will give some recurrence relations of the sequence formed by ordered k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term m.

First, we consider the case with $m = k + 1$.

Theorem 4.1. *For any $k \geq 0$, the sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term $k + 1$ satisfies the following recurrence relation:*

$$op^{k+2}_{n+1,k+1} = op^{k+1}_{n,k} + op^{k+1}_{n,k+1} + op^{k+2}_{n,k+1}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Let A denote the set of preference sets of length n with at most $k + 1$ flaws and leading term no less than $k + 1$. Obviously, for any $\beta = (b_1, \cdots, b_n) \in A$, we have $b_1 = k + 1$ or $k + 2$, hence, $A = \mathcal{OP}^{k+1}_{n,k} \cup \mathcal{OP}^{k+1}_{n,k+1} \cup \mathcal{OP}^{k+2}_{n,k+1}$ and $|A| = op^{k+1}_{n,k} + op^{k+1}_{n,k+1} + op^{k+2}_{n,k+1}$.

Now, for any $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{OP}^{k+2}_{n+1,k+1}$, let $\beta = (a_2 - 1, \cdots, a_{n+1} - 1)$, then $\beta \in A$; conversely, for any $\beta = (b_1, \cdots, b_n) \in A$, let $\alpha = (k+2, b_1+1, \cdots, b_n+1)$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{OP}^{k+2}_{n+1,k+1}$. So, $op^{k+2}_{n+1,k+1} = |\mathcal{OP}^{k+2}_{n+1,k+1}| = |A| = op^{k+1}_{n,k} + op^{k+1}_{n,k+1} + op^{k+2}_{n,k+1}$. ■

Example 4.1. *Take $n = 5$ and $k = 1$. In Appendix, we can find $op^3_{6,2} = 48$, $op^2_{5,1} = 28$,$op^2_{5,2} = 6$ and $op^3_{5,2} = 14$. Then $op^3_{6,2} = op^2_{5,1} + op^2_{5,2} + op^3_{5,2}$.*

Remark 4.1. *In fact, by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, simple computation also implies the recurrence relation in Theorem 4.1.*

Theorem 4.2. *For any $k \geq 0$, the sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term $k + 1$ and $\max\{a_i \mid i \in [n]\} = l$ satisfies the following recurrence relation:*

$$op^{k+2}_{n+1,k+1,l+1} = op^{k+1}_{n,k,=l} + op^{k+1}_{n,k+1,=l} + op^{k+2}_{n,k+1,=l}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{A}$ denote the set of parking functions of length n with at most $k + 1$ flaws and leading term no less than $k + 1$ and $\max\{a_i \mid i \in [n]\} = l$. Obviously, for any $\beta = (b_1, \cdots, b_n) \in \widetilde{A}$, we have $b_1 = k + 1$ or $k + 2$, hence, $\widetilde{A} = \mathcal{OP}^{k+1}_{n,k,=l} \cup \mathcal{OP}^{k+1}_{n,k+1,=l} \cup \mathcal{OP}^{k+2}_{n,k+1,=l}$ and $|\widetilde{A}| = op^{k+1}_{n,k,=l} + op^{k+1}_{n,k+1,=l} + op^{k+2}_{n,k+1,=l}$.

Now, for any $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{OP}^{k+2}_{n+1,k+1,l+1}$, let $\beta = (a_2 - 1, \cdots, a_{n+1} - 1)$, it is easy to check that $\beta \in \widetilde{A}$; conversely, for any $\beta = (b_1, \cdots, b_n) \in \widetilde{A}$, let $\alpha = (k+2, b_1+1, \cdots, b_n+1)$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{OP}^{k+2}_{n+1,k+1,l+1}$. So,

$$op^{k+2}_{n+1,k+1,l+1} = |\mathcal{OP}^{k+2}_{n+1,k+1,l+1}| = |\widetilde{A}| = op^{k+1}_{n,k,=l} + op^{k+1}_{n,k+1,=l} + op^{k+2}_{n,k+1,=l}.$$

■

For the case with $1 \leq m \leq k$, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $1 \leq m \leq k$. The sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term m satisfies the following recurrence relation:*

$$op^m_{n,k} = \sum_{j=m+1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i op^j_{n-i,k}. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $k \geq m \geq 1$, $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathcal{OP}^m_{n,k}$ and $\beta = (a_1 - m + 1, \cdots, a_n - m + 1)$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{OP}^1_{n,k-m+1,\leq n-m+1}$. Thus, we suppose the $(i+1)$-th parking space is the first empty parking space, let $S = \{j \mid a_j - m + 1 \leq i\}$ and $T = \{j \mid i + 2 \leq a_j - m + 1 \leq n - m + 1\}$, then $|S| = i$ and $|T| = n - i$. Let β_S and β_T be the sequences of β determined by the subscripts in S and T, respectively. We have $\beta_S \in \mathcal{OP}_i$ and $\beta_T \in \bigcup_{j=i+2}^{k-m+i+2} \mathcal{OP}^j_{n-i,k-m+i+1,\leq n-m+1}$.

Note that $1 \leq i \leq n - k$. Let c_n be n-th Catalan number . Also it is easy to check $\bigcup_{j=i+2}^{k-m+i+2} \mathcal{OP}^j_{n-i,k-m+i+1,\leq n-m+1} = \bigcup_{j=m+1}^{k+1} \mathcal{OP}^j_{n-i,k}$. Therefore, there are c_i and $\sum_{j=m+1}^{k+1} op^j_{n-i,k}$ possibilities for β_S and β_T, respectively. Finally, we obtain the following equation

$$op^m_{n,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i \sum_{j=m+1}^{k+1} op^j_{n-i,k} = \sum_{j=m+1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i op^j_{n-i,k}.$$

■

Example 4.2. *Take $n = 6$, $k = 2$ and $m = 1$. By the data in Appendix, it is easy to check* $op^1_{6,2} = \sum_{j=2}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_i op^j_{6-i,2}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $1 \leq m \leq k$. The sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term m and $\max\{a_i \mid i \in [n]\} = l$ satisfies the following recurrence relation:*

$$op^m_{n,k,=l} = \sum_{j=m+1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i op^j_{n-i,k,l-i}. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let $k \geq m \geq 1$, $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathcal{OP}^m_{n,k,=l}$ and $\beta = (a_1 - m + 1, \cdots, a_n - m + 1)$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{OP}^1_{n,k-m+1,l-m+1}$. Thus, we suppose the $(i+1)$-th parking space is the first empty parking space, let $S = \{j \mid a_j - m + 1 \leq i\}$ and $T = \{j \mid i + 2 \leq a_j - m + 1 \leq l - m + 1\}$, then $|S| = i$ and $|T| = n - i$. Let β_S and β_T be the sequences of β determined by the subscripts in S and T, respectively. We have $\beta_S \in \mathcal{OP}_i$ and $\beta_T \in \bigcup_{j=i+2}^{k-m+i+2} \mathcal{OP}^j_{n-i,k-m+i+1,l-m+1}$.

Note that $1 \leq i \leq n - k$. Let c_n be n-th Catalan number . Also it is easy to check $\bigcup_{j=i+2}^{k-m+i+2} \mathcal{OP}^j_{n-i,k-m+i+1,l-m+1} = \bigcup_{j=m+1}^{k+1} \mathcal{OP}^j_{n-i,k,l-i}$. Therefore, there are c_i and $\sum_{j=m+1}^{k+1} op^j_{n-i,k,l-i}$ possibilities for β_S and β_T, respectively. Finally, we obtain the following equation

$$op^m_{n,k,=l} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i \sum_{j=m+1}^{k+1} op^j_{n-i,k,l-i} = \sum_{j=m+1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i op^j_{n-i,k,l-i}.$$

■

Theorem 4.5. *Let $k \geq 0$. The sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets of length n satisfies the following recurrence relation:*

$$op_{n,k} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} c_i [op_{n-i,k} - op^1_{n-i,k}].$$

Proof. Let $k \geq 0$, $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$. We suppose the $(i+1)$-th parking space is the first empty parking space, let $S = \{j \mid a_j \leq i\}$ and $T = \{j \mid i + 2 \leq a_j \leq n\}$, then $|S| = i$ and $|T| = n - i$. Let α_S and α_T be the sequences of α determined by the subscripts in S and T, respectively. Furthermore, suppose $\alpha_T = (a_{i_1}, \cdots, a_{i_{n-i}})$, let $\beta_T = (a_{i_1} - i, \cdots, a_{i_{n-i}} - i)$, We have $\alpha_S \in \mathcal{OP}_i$ and $\beta_T \in \mathcal{OP}_{n-i,k} \setminus \mathcal{OP}^1_{n-i,k}$.

Note that $0 \leq i \leq n - k - 1$. Let c_n be n-th Catalan number . Therefore, there are c_i and $op_{n-i,k} - op^1_{n-i,k}$ possibilities for α_S and β_T, respectively. We obtain the following equation $op_{n,k} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} c_i [op_{n-i,k} - op^1_{n-i,k}]$. ■

Example 4.3. *Take $n = 6$ and $k = 2$. By the data in Appendix, it is easy to check* $op_{6,2} = \sum_{i=0}^{3} c_i [op_{6-i,2} - op^1_{6-i,2}]$..

5 Generating functions of ordered k-flaw preference sets

In this section, we want to obtain the generating functions of the sequences formed by ordered k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term m.

Let $\psi(x,y) = \sum_{n\geq 1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} op_{n,\geq k}x^k y^n$ be the generating function for $op_{n,\geq k}$. To obtain $\psi(x,y)$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *For any $n \geq 0$, let $b_n = \binom{2n}{n}$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} b_n x^n$ be the generating function of the sequence $b_1, b_2, \cdots$. Then $B(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4x}}$.*

Proof. Note that $b_n = (n+1)c_n$. Hence, $B(x) = \frac{d(xC(x))}{dx} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4x}}$ ■

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n \geq 1$. The generating function $\psi_n(x)$ for the sequence formed by ordered parking functions length n with at least k flaws satisfies the following recurrence relation:*

$$2x\psi_n(x) = 2(1+x)^2\psi_{n-1}(x) + (x-1)\binom{2n-2}{n-1}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, using the equation $\binom{n}{m} = \binom{n-1}{m} + \binom{n-1}{m-1}$, we obtain the following recurrence relation.

$$\psi_n(x) = \frac{(1+x)^2}{x}\psi_{n-1}(x) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{x})\binom{2n-2}{n-1}.$$

■

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\psi(x,y)$ be the generating function for the sequence formed by ordered parking functions length n with at least k flaws. Then*

$$\psi(x,y) = \frac{y}{2(x-y(1+x)^2)}\left[\frac{x-1}{\sqrt{1-4y}} + x + 1\right].$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2x\sum_{n\geq 2}\psi_n(x)y^n &= 2(1+x)^2\sum_{n\geq 2}\psi_{n-1}(x)y^n + (x-1)\sum_{n\geq 2}\binom{2n-2}{n-1}y^n \\ &= 2y(1+x)^2\sum_{n\geq 1}\psi_n(x)y^n + (x-1)y\sum_{n\geq 1}\binom{2n}{n}y^n. \end{aligned}$$

Note $\psi_1(x) = 1$. By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$2x[\psi(x,y) - y] = 2y(1+x)^2\psi(x,y) + (x-1)y\left[B(y) - 1\right].$$

Solving this equation, we have

$$\psi(x,y) = \frac{y}{2(x-y(1+x)^2)}\left[\frac{x-1}{\sqrt{1-4y}} + x + 1\right].$$

■

Let $\varphi(x,y)=\sum\limits_{n\geq 1}\sum\limits_{k=0}^{n-1} op_{n,k}^{k+1}x^k y^n=\sum\limits_{k\geq 0}\sum\limits_{n\geq k+1} op_{n,k}^{k+1}y^n x^k$ be the generating function for $op_{n,k}^{k+1}$. Furthermore, let $\varphi_k(y)=\sum\limits_{n\geq k+1} op_{n,k}^{k+1}y^n$ for any $k\geq 0$, then $\varphi(x,y)=\sum\limits_{k\geq 0}\varphi_k(y)x^k$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $k\geq 0$. The generating function $\varphi_k(y)$ of the sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets length n with leading term $k+1$ satisfies the following recurrence relation:*

$$\varphi_{k+1}(y)=yC(y)\varphi_k(y) \text{ and } \varphi_0(y)=y[C(y)]^2.$$

Proof. Obviously, when $k=0$, we have $\varphi_0(y)=C(y)-1=y[C(y)]^2$ since $n\geq 1$.

For any $k\geq 1$, taking $m=k$ in Equations (1) and (3), we have

$$op_{n+1,k+1}^{k+2}=op_{n,k}^{k+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} c_i op_{n-i,k+1}^{k+2}+op_{n,k+1}^{k+2}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n\geq k+2} op_{n+1,k+1}^{k+2}y^n=\sum_{n\geq k+2} op_{n,k}^{k+1}y^n+\sum_{n\geq k+2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} c_i op_{n-i,k+1}^{k+2}y^n+\sum_{n\geq k+2} op_{n,k+1}^{k+2}y^n.$$

So,

$$[\varphi_{k+1}(y)-op_{k+2,k+1}^{k+1}y^{k+2}]y^{-1}=\varphi_k(y)-op_{k+1,k}^{k}y^{k+1}+[C(y)-1]\varphi_{k+1}(y)+\varphi_{k+1}(y).$$

Note that $op_{k+2,k+1}^{k+1}=op_{k+1,k}^{k}=1$ and $\frac{1}{C(y)}=1-yC(y)$. Therefore, $\varphi_{k+1}(y)=yC(y)\varphi_k(y)$.■

Corollary 5.2. *Let $\varphi(x,y)$ be the generating function of the sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets length n with leading term $k+1$. Then*

$$\varphi(x,y)=\frac{y[C(y)]^2}{1-xyC(y)}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, it is easy to obtain that $\varphi_k(y)=y^{k+1}[C(y)]^{k+2}$.Note that $\varphi(x,y)=\sum\limits_{k\geq 0}\varphi_k(y)x^k$. Hence,

$$\varphi(x,y)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\varphi_k(y)x^k=\sum_{k\geq 0}y^{k+1}[C(y)]^{k+2}x^k=\frac{y[C(y)]^2}{1-xyC(y)}.$$

■

Let $\rho(x,y,z)=\sum\limits_{m\geq 1}\sum\limits_{n\geq m+1}\sum\limits_{k=m}^{n-1} op_{n,k}^{m}x^k y^n z^m=\sum\limits_{m\geq 1}\sum\limits_{k\geq m}\sum\limits_{n\geq k+1} op_{n,k}^{m}y^n x^k z^m$ be the generating function of the sequence $op_{n,k}^{m}$ with $k\geq m$. Let $\rho_m(x,y)=\sum\limits_{k\geq m}\sum\limits_{n\geq k+1} op_{n,k}^{m}y^n x^k$ and $\rho_{m,k}(y)=\sum\limits_{n\geq k+1} op_{n,k}^{m}y^n$ for any $k\geq m$, then $\rho(x,y,z)=\sum\limits_{m\geq 1}\rho_m(x,y)z^m$ and $\rho_m(x,y)=\sum\limits_{k\geq m}\rho_{m,k}(y)x^k$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $k\geq 0$ and $k\geq m\geq 1$. The generating function $\rho_{m,k}(y)$ of the sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets length n with leading term m satisfies the following recurrence relation:*

$$\begin{cases}\rho_{m,k}(y)=C(y)\rho_{m+1,k}(y)\\ \rho_{k,k}(y)=y^{k+2}[C(y)]^{k+4}.\end{cases}$$

Proof. By Equation (3), we have $\sum\limits_{n\geq k+1} op_{n,k}^{m}y^n=\sum\limits_{j=m+1}^{k+1}\sum\limits_{n\geq k+1}\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i op_{n-i,k}^{j}y^n$.

Case 1. $k=m$

Note that $C(y)-1=y[C(y)]^2$. By Theorem 5.2, we have

$$\rho_{k,k}(y)=\sum_{n\geq k+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i op_{n-i,k}^{k+1}y^n=[C(y)-1]\varphi_{k+1}(y)=y^{k+2}[C(y)]^{k+4}.$$

Case 2. $k\geq m+1$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{m,k}(y) &= \sum_{n\geq k+1} op_{n,k}^{m}y^n\\ &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{k+1}\sum_{n\geq k+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i op_{n-i,k}^{j}y^n\\ &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{k}\sum_{n\geq k+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i op_{n-i,k}^{j}y^n+\sum_{n\geq k+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c_i op_{n-i,k}^{k+1}y^n\\ &= [C(y)-1]\sum_{j=m+1}^{k}\rho_{j,k}(y)+[C(y)-1]\varphi_{k+1}(y)\\ &= y[C(y)]^2\sum_{j=m+1}^{k}\rho_{j,k}(y)+y[C(y)]^2\varphi_{k+1}(y).\end{aligned}$$

By the above equation, we have $\rho_{m+1,k}(y)=y[C(y)]^2\sum\limits_{j=m+2}^{k}\rho_{j,k}(y)+y[C(y)]^2\varphi_{k+1}(y)$.

Hence, $\rho_{m,k}(y)-\rho_{m+1,k}(y)=y[C(y)]^2\rho_{m+1,k}(y)$. Since $1+y[C(y)]^2=C(y)$, we obtain $\rho_{m,k}(y)=C(y)\rho_{m+1,k}(y)$. ■

Corollary 5.3. *For any $m\geq 1$, let $\rho_m(x,y)$ be the generating function of the sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets length n with leading term m. Then*

$$\rho_m(x,y)=\frac{x^m y^{m+2}[C(y)]^{m+4}}{1-xy[C(y)]^2}.$$

Furthermore, let $\rho(x,y,z)$ be the generating function of the sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets length n with leading term m. Then

$$\rho(x,y,z) = \frac{xy^3z[C(y)]^5}{[1-xy[C(y)]^2][1-xyzC(y)]}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.3, we have $\rho_{m,k}(y) = C(y)\rho_{m+1,k}(y) = [C(y)]^{k-m}\rho_{k,k}(y) = y^{k+2}[C(y)]^{2k-m+4}$. Therefore, for any $k \geq m$, we have $\rho_{m,k}(y) = y^{k+2}[C(y)]^{2k-m+4}$.

So,

$$\rho_m(x,y) = \sum_{k\geq m} y^{k+2}[C(y)]^{2k-m+4}x^k = \frac{x^m y^{m+2}[C(y)]^{m+4}}{1-xy[C(y)]^2}$$

and

$$\rho(x,y,z) = \sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{x^m y^{m+2}[C(y)]^{m+4}}{1-xy[C(y)]^2} z^m = \frac{xy^3z[C(y)]^5}{[1-xy[C(y)]^2][1-xyzC(y)]}.$$

■

Let $\phi(x,y) = \sum_{n\geq 1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} op_{n,k}x^k y^n = \sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{n\geq k+1} op_{n,k}y^n x^k$ be the generating function for the sequence $op_{n,k}$. Let $\phi_k(x) = \sum_{n\geq k+1} op_{n,k}y^n$ for any $k \geq 0$, then $\phi(x,y) = \sum_{k\geq 0}\phi_k(y)x^k$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $\phi_k(y)$ be the generating function of the sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets length n. Then*

$$\phi_k(y) = y^{k+1}[C(y)]^{2(k+1)}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\geq k+1} op_{n,k}y^n &= \sum_{n\geq k+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} c_i[op_{n-i,k} - op^1_{n-i,k}]y^n \\ &= \sum_{n\geq k+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} c_i op_{n-i,k}y^n - \sum_{n\geq k+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} c_i op^1_{n-i,k}y^n.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\phi_k(y) = C(y)\phi_k(y) - C(y)\rho_{1,k}(y)$. Note that $\rho_{1,k}(y) = y^{k+2}[C(y)]^{2k+3}$ and $C(y) - 1 = y[C(y)]^2$. Therefore, $\phi_k(y) = y^{k+1}[C(y)]^{2(k+1)}$. ■

Corollary 5.4. *Let $\phi(x,y)$ be the generating function of the sequence formed by k-flaw preference sets length n. Then*

$$\phi(x,y) = \frac{y[C(y)]^2}{1-xy[C(y)]^2}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.4, since $\phi(x,y)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\phi_k(y)x^k$, we have

$$\phi(x,y)=\sum_{k\geq 0}y^{k+1}[C(y)]^{2(k+1)}x^k=\frac{y[C(y)]^2}{1-xy[C(y)]^2}.$$

■

6 The mean and the various of the number of flaws in k-flaw preference sets

In this section, we will compute the mean and the various of the number of flaws of the sequences corresponding with the generating functions in Section 5.

Corollary 6.1. *For any $k\geq 0$ and $n\geq k+1$, let $op_{n,k}^{k+1}$ denote the number of k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term $k+1$. Let $mop_{n,k}^{k+1}$ and $vop_{n,k}^{k+1}$ denote the mean and various of the number of flaws of preference sets in the set $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}^{k+1}$. Then we have*

$$mop_{n,k}^{k+1}=\frac{5(n-1)}{3(n+3)}, vop_{n,k}^{k+1}=\frac{4(n-1)(2n+1)(4n+7)}{9(n+3)^2(n+4)}.$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.2, the generating function of the sequences formed by $op_{n,k}^{k+1}$ is $\varphi(x,y)=\frac{y[C(y)]^2}{1-xyC(y)}$. Note that $\frac{1}{C(y)}=1-yC(y)$. Simple computations tell us

$$\left.\frac{\partial\varphi(x,y)}{\partial x}\right|_{x=1}=y^2[C(y)]^5=y^{-1}\rho_{1,1}(y)=\sum_{n\geq 2}\frac{5}{n+2}\binom{2n-2}{n-3}y^{n-1}=\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{5}{n+3}\binom{2n}{n-2}y^n,$$

$$\left.\frac{\partial^2\varphi(x,y)}{\partial x^2}\right|_{x=1}=2y^3[C(y)]^7=2y^{-1}\rho_{1,2}(y)=\sum_{n\geq 3}\frac{14}{n+3}\binom{2n-2}{n-4}y^{n-1}=\sum_{n\geq 2}\frac{14}{n+4}\binom{2n}{n-3}y^n,$$

and

$$\varphi(1,y)=y[C(y)]^3=y^{-1}\varphi_2(y)=\sum_{n\geq 2}\frac{3}{n+1}\binom{2n-2}{n-2}y^{n-1}=\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{3}{n+2}\binom{2n}{n-1}y^n.$$

Hence,

$$mop_{n,m-1}^{m}=\frac{[y^n]\left.\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}\right|_{x=1}}{[y^n]\varphi(1,y)}=\frac{5(n-1)}{3(n+3)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} vop^m_{n,m-1} &= \frac{[y^n]\left.\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial x^2}\right|_{x=1}}{[y^n]\varphi(1,y)} + mop^m_{n,m-1} - [mop^m_{n,m-1}]^2 \\ &= \frac{4(n-1)(2n+1)(4n+7)}{9(n+3)^2(n+4)}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Corollary 6.2. *For any $k \geq 0$, $m \geq k$ and $n \geq k+1$, let $op^m_{n,k}$ denote the number of k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term m. Let $mop^m_{n,k}$ and $vop^m_{n,k}$ denote the mean and various of the number of flaws of preference sets in the set $\mathcal{OP}^m_{n,k}$.Let*

$$t(n,m) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-m-2} \frac{m(m+3)\binom{2i}{i}\binom{2n-m-2-2i}{n-m-2-i} + 4^i(m+4)\binom{2n-m-3-2i}{n-m-3-i}}{n-i+1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r(n,m) &= \frac{m(m-1)(m+4)}{2n-m}\binom{2n-m}{n-m-2} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-m-2} \frac{m+5}{2(n-i)-m-1}\binom{2(n-i)-m-1}{n-i-m-3}\binom{2i}{i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n-m-2} \frac{m+4}{2(n-i-1)-m}\binom{2(n-i-1)-m}{n-i-m-3}4^i. \end{aligned}$$

Then for $n \geq m+2$, we have

$$mop^m_{n,k} = \frac{t(n,m)}{\binom{2n-m-1}{n-m-2}}$$

and

$$vop^m_{n,k} = \frac{r(n,m)}{\binom{2n-m-1}{n-m-2}} + \frac{t(n,m)}{\binom{2n-m-1}{n-m-2}} - \left[\frac{t(n,m)}{\binom{2n-m-1}{n-m-2}}\right]^2.$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.3, the generating function of the sequence formed by $op^m_{n,k}$ is $\rho_m(x,y) = \frac{x^m y^{m+2}[C(y)]^{m+4}}{1-xy[C(y)]^2}$. Note that $\frac{1}{C(y)} = 1 - yC(y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left.\frac{\partial\rho_m(x,y)}{\partial x}\right|_{x=1} &= \frac{my^{m+2}[C(y)]^{m+4}}{1-y[C(y)]^2} + \frac{y^{m+3}[C(y)]^{m+6}}{[1-y[C(y)]^2]^2} \\ &= \frac{my^{m+2}[C(y)]^{m+3}}{\sqrt{1-4y}} + \frac{y^{m+3}[C(y)]^{m+4}}{1-4y} \\ &= \frac{m\varphi_{m+2}(y)}{\sqrt{1-4y}} + \frac{\varphi_{m+3}(y)}{1-4y} \\ &= \sum_{n\geq m+2} t(n,m)y^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial^2\rho_m(x,y)}{\partial x^2}\right|_{x=1} &= m(m-1)y^{m+2}[C(y)]^{m+4}+\frac{2(m-1)y^{m+3}[C(y)]^{m+6}}{1-y[C(y)]^2}+\frac{2y^{m+3}[C(y)]^{m+6}}{[1-y[C(y)]^2]^2}\\ &= m(m-1)y^{m+2}[C(y)]^{m+4}+\frac{2(m-1)y^{m+3}[C(y)]^{m+5}}{\sqrt{1-4y}}+\frac{2y^{m+3}[C(y)]^{m+4}}{1-4y}\\ &= m(m-1)\rho_{m,m}(y)+\frac{2(m-1)\rho_{m+1,m+1}(y)}{\sqrt{1-4y}}+\frac{2y\rho_{m,m}(y)}{1-4y}\\ &= \sum_{n\geq m+2} r(n,m)y^n\end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho_m(x,y)=\sum_{n\geq m+1}\sum_{k=m}^{n-1} op_{n,k}^m x^k y^n$, by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$[y^n]\rho_m(1,y)=\sum_{k=m}^{n-1} op_{n,k}^m = op_{n,\geq m}^m = \binom{2n-m-1}{n-m-2}.$$

Hence, for $n\geq m+2$, we have

$$mop_{n,k}^m = \frac{t(n,m)}{\binom{2n-m-1}{n-m-2}}$$

and

$$vop_{n,k}^m = \frac{r(n,m)}{\binom{2n-m-1}{n-m-2}}+\frac{t(n,m)}{\binom{2n-m-1}{n-m-2}}-\left[\frac{t(n,m)}{\binom{2n-m-1}{n-m-2}}\right]^2$$

■

Theorem 6.1. *For any $n\geq 1$, let $op_{n,k}$ denote the number of k-flaw preference sets of length n. Let $mop_{n,k}$ and $vop_{n,k}$ denote the mean and various of the number of flaws of preference sets in the set $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$. Then we have*

$$mop_{n,k}=\frac{2^{2n-1}}{\binom{2n}{n}}-1, vop_{n,k}=n-\left[\frac{2^{2n-1}}{\binom{2n}{n}}\right]^2.$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.4, the generating function of the sequence formed by $op_{n,k}$ is $\phi(x,y)=\frac{y[C(y)]^2}{1-xy[C(y)]^2}$. By Lemma 5.1, simple computations tell us

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial\phi(x,y)}{\partial x}\right|_{x=1} &= \frac{1}{2}+\frac{y}{1-4y}-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-4y}}\\ &= \sum_{n\geq 1}\left[4^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2}\binom{2n}{n}\right]y^n\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(1,y) &= -\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-4y}}\\ &= \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{2}\binom{2n}{n}y^n.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$mop_{n,k} = \frac{[y^n]\left.\frac{\partial\phi(x,y)}{\partial x}\right|_{x=1}}{[y^n]\phi(1,y)} = \frac{2^{2n-1}}{\binom{2n}{n}} - 1.$$

It is easy to obtain the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial^2\phi(x,y)}{\partial x^2}\right|_{x=1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4y}} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{(1-4y)^3}} - \frac{3y}{1-4y} - 1 \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 1}\left[\frac{1}{2}(n+2)\binom{2n}{n} - 3\cdot 2^{2n-2}\right]y^n.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$vop_{n,k} = \frac{[y^n]\left.\frac{\partial^2\phi(x,y)}{\partial x^2}\right|_{x=1}}{[y^n]\phi(1,y)} + mop_{n,k} - (mop_{n,k})^2 = n - \left[\frac{2^{2n-1}}{\binom{2n}{n}}\right]^2.$$

■

7 Appendix

For convenience to check the equations in the previous sections, by computer search, for $n \leq 6$, we obtain the number of k-flaw preference sets of length n with leading term m and list them in Table 1. Note that $op_{n,k} = 0$ if $n \leq k$ and $op^m_{n,k} = 0$ if $m \geq k+2$. When $k = 0$, $op_{n,0}$ is equals the n-th Catalan number c_n.

$op_{n,k}^m$	$m=1$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	$op_{n,k}$
$(n,k)=(1,0)$	1	0							1
$(2,0)$	2	0							2
$(2,1)$	0	1	0						1
$(3,0)$	5	0							5
$(3,1)$	1	3	0						4
$(3,2)$	0	0	1	0					1
$(4,0)$	14	0							14
$(4,1)$	5	9	0						14
$(4,2)$	1	1	4	0					6
$(4,3)$	0	0	0	1	0				1
$(5,0)$	42	0							42
$(5,1)$	20	28	0						48
$(5,2)$	7	6	14	0					27
$(5,3)$	1	1	1	5	0				8
$(5,4)$	0	0	0	0	1	0			1
$(6,0)$	132	0							132
$(6,1)$	75	90	0						165
$(6,2)$	35	27	48	0					110
$(6,3)$	9	8	7	20	0				44
$(6,4)$	1	1	1	1	6	0			10
$(6,5)$	0	0	0	0	0	1	0		1

Table.1. $op_{n,k}^m$ for any $n \leq 6$

References

[1] Peter J Cameron, Daniel Johannsen, Thomas Prellberg, Pascal Schweitzer, Couting Defective Parking Functions, arXiv:0803.0302v1, 3 Mar, 2008

[2] R. Cori, D. Rossin, B. Salvy, Polynomial ideals for sandpiles and their Grobner bases. *Theoretical Computer Science* **276** (2002), no. 1-2, 1-15.

[3] Chebikin Denis, Pylyavskyy Pavlo, A family of bijections between G-parking functions and spanning trees. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **110** (2005), no. 1, 31–41.

[4] Sen-Peng Eu,Tung-Shan Fu,Chun-Ju Lai,On the enumeration of parking functions by leading terms ,*Adv. in Appl. Math.* 35 (2005) 392-406

[5] Sen-Peng Eu, Tung-Shan Fu, Yeong-NanYeh, Refined Chung-Feller theorems for lattice paths, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 112 (2005) 143-162.

[6] Sen-Peng Eu, Shu-Chung Liu, Yeong-NanYeh, Taylor expansions for Catalan and Motzkin numbers, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 29 (2002) 345-357.

[7] D. Foata, J. Riordan, Mappings of acyclic and parking functions, *Aequationes Math.* 10 (1974) 10-22.

[8] J. Françn, Acyclic and parking functions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 18 (1975) 27-35.

[9] J.D. Gilbey, L.H. Kalikow, Parking functions, valet functions and priority queues, *Discrete Math.* 197/198 (1999) 351-373.

[10] A. Henderson. The twenty-seven lines upon the cubic surface, Cambridge University Press (1911)

[11] Po-Yi Huang, Jun Ma, Jean Yeh, k-flaw Preference Sets, submitted.

[12] J.P.S. Kung, C.H. Yan, Gončarove polynomials and parking functions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 102 (2003) 16-37.

[13] J. Pitman, R. Stanley, A polytope related to empirical distributions, plane trees, parking functions, and the associahedron, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 27 (4) (2002) 603-634.

[14] Postnikov, A. and Shapiro, B. Trees, Parking Functions, Syzygies, and Deformatioins of Monomial Ideals. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **356** (2004).

[15] J.Riordan, Ballots and trees, *J.Combin. Theory* 6 (1969) 408-411.

[16] M.P. Schtzenberger, On an enumeration problem, *J. Combin. Theory* 4 (1968) 219-221.

[17] R.P. Stanley, Hyperplane arrangements, interval orders and trees, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 93 (1996) 2620-2625.

[18] R.P. Stanley, Parking functions and non-crossing partitions, in: The Wilf Festschrift, *Electron. J. Combin.* 4 (1997) R20.

[19] C.H. Yan, Generalized tree inversions and k-parking functions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 79 (1997) 268-280.

[20] C.H. Yan, On the enumeration of generalized parking functions, *Congr. Numer.* 147 (2000) 201-209.

[21] C.H. Yan, Generalized parking functions, tree inversions and multicolored graphs, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 27 (2001) 641-670.